ED 031 640 AC 004 893

Resume of Two Adult Education Surveys, Spring 1967 and School Year 1967-68, by Research Coordinating Unit.

Wisconsin Board of Vocational, Technical, and Adult Education, Madison, Wisconsin Univ., Madison, University Extension.

Pub Date 68

Note -230.

ERIC*

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.25

Descriptors-Adult Dropouts, *Adult Education, Analysis of Variance, Educational Background, Females, Income, Information Sources, Males, *Motivation, Occupations, Participant Satisfaction, *Participation, *Part Time Students, Persistence, Questionna res, Surveys, University Extension, Vocational Education

Identifiers - Wisconsin

Two studies were made of part time adult education enrollees in Wisconsin: (1) a Spring 1967 study of relationships between demographic or socioeconomic data and information on reasons for course participation in the vocational system; (2) a study during the 1967-68 school jear in which questionnaires were given, to vocational system course enrollees, course dropouts and completers, and enrollees in five University of Wisconsin extension centers. These were among the findings: (1) participation in the extension and vocational systems differed significantly on sex, educational background (both higher for the extension group), and occupation and income; (2) vocational students were generally motivated by occupational and home tasks, while extension students stressed becoming better informed citizens and escaping daily routines; (3) newspapers (for the vocational students) and catalogs (for the extension group) were the leading sources of course information; (4) instructors in the vocational system appeared more empathetic toward their students than extension instructors. (The document includes questionnaires and nine tables.) (1y)

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

RESULT!

of

TWO ADULT EDUCATION SUPVEYS

Summary of State and Dist. No.

Tabular Data

Spring 1967

and

School Year 1967-68

by

Research Coordinating Unit

Wisconsin Board of Vocational, Technical and Adult Education and

University of Wisconsin Extension



RESUME OF TWO ADULT EDUCATION SURVEYS, CONDUCTED BY THE WBVTAE. (SPRING 1967, AND 1967-1968).

More then 180,000 persons in the State of Wisconsin annually enroll in the part-time adult education (PTAE) programs offered in the Wisconsin system of Vocational, Technical and Adult Education. The prime mission of the system is to provide vocational, technical and adult education opportunities for Wisconsin residents over 16 years of age and who are not enrolled in secondary schools. Adult students are motivated by social, economic, and academic reasons to attend part-time programs, but many students terminate studies prior to formal course completion. Thus, fulfillment of their educational needs may be obtained prior to completion of the formal course offering. However, knowledge gained relative to the factors for student (adult) participation can aid in the revision and development of educational programs, services and activities to meet their changing educational needs.

Two studies were recently made of students enrolled in part-time adult education programs. The first study, which will be referred to as the Spring 1967 study, was conducted to ascertain relationship which might exist between demographic, or socio-economic data and information on reasons for course participation. The second study developed during the 1967-1968 school year had a longitudinal effect in that questionnaires were given not only to course enrollees but also to course drop-outs and course 'persister', or those completing the course. Furthermore, the questionnaire used in the 1967-68 survey was also administered to students enrolled in the University of Wisconsin extension centers at Green Bay, Janesville, Madison, Marathon County (Wausau), and Racine. These schools were chosen because of their comparable geographic commonalities to the surveyed schools in the vocational system.



PART I. SPRING 1967 STUDY

The initial study was divided into two sections, dependent upon consideration given to variables studied. The first section, designated as Part 'A', is related to the surveyed population respective to singular attributes. Elementary considerations were:

(1) course data, (2) individual descriptors, (3) occupation and employment data, (4) attendance information, (5) reasons for participating, and (6) results gained from PTAE participation. The second portion of the report, designated as Part 'B' discusses the relationship between variables. Contrasted elements such as (1) present occupation versus demographic items; (2) occupational aspirations contracted to demographic variables; (3) course interest areas compared to level of education attainment; (4) family income level against demographic attributes; (5) present occupation versus aspiring occupation goals; etc. - are compared within the survey.

Course data discussion:

The questionnaire for the spring 1967 survey was distributed to a sample, of 1500 students. Completed questionnaires were received from 819 adult students, The surveyed students represented 70 classes in 41 communities. Approximately two-thirds of the courses surveyed were concerned with a general educational development as contrasted to a manipulative skill development. Fifty-eight courses were offered in the evening; whereas twelve were day-time presentations. Concentration of courses, a 7/2 ratio, was in the divisional areas of industrial, business, and home economics as compared to courses in the subject fields of general education, graphic and applied arts, and service and health occupations. Forty-six courses were state or federally aided, and twenty courses were classified as non-aided.



Individual Data:

The adult student was reluctant to reply to questions about age, income, and number of dependents; whereas inquiries about marital status and education background were more frequently answered. Female students participated slightly more than their male counterpart (411/394) in the surveyed program. The median age of students surveyed was 31.3 years with a 3 to 1 relationship existent between the married and single students. The median level of education attainment was 12 years of formal schooling with the median family income being slightly less than \$7,000. The average number of dependents per student was 1.15 persons; and approximately one of each twenty surveyed individuals indicated they had physical limitations.

It appears that students have a tendency to enroll in course work in a continual fashion, and slightly over thirty percent of those surveyed had participated in the PTAE program during the previous school year.

Reasons for Participation:

More than 2/3 of the respondents participate in the adult program to fulfill a desire for general information and knowledge/or satisfy courisity. Three additional reasons for participating in the adult education programs are given by more than 40 percent of those surveyed, and they are: (1) to improve performance ability on the present job, (2) to prepare for leisure time activity; and (3) to spend time more enjoyably. Two items that appeared least significant for course participation were: (1) to prepare for a second part time job; not a retraining or upgrading process, and (2) to improve understanding of community problems.



Variable Comparisons:

Median age levels as related to the present occupations of adult students had the following order of ascendancy: (1) 25 years - clerical and sales occupation; (2) 27 to 30 years - tradesmen in machine or bench/structural work; (3) 35 years - the professional technical-managerial occupations; and (4) 37 years - the domestic profession, which includes the housewife. Surveyed adult students, who have an educational attainment level of less than one year post high school, have family median incomes of less than \$7,000; whereas, adult enrollees who reported an education level of between two and four years post high school had a median income slightly in excess of \$7,000. Participants with four years post high school training reported a median family income in excess of \$8,000. Respondents, who had an education level of less than eighth grade, were registered as 'full-time students' and had a median income of less than \$4,000.

Holding Strength Observations:

The basis for establishing a 'holding-strength' indicator is to compare student enrollment between the beginning and ending of a course. If a course maintains its 'category' enrollment level for the instructional duration, the holding strength for the course is rated 'high'. If class attendance decreases by one category, or between 6-10 persons, its holding strength is rated 'average'. Whereas, a decline of more than one category is given a 'low' holding strength rating. In the survey, 54 night classes were surveyed as contrasted to 12 day offerings. Differences between the evening and day classes did not appear significant for holding strength comparisons. However, if the courses were grouped for class meetings planned into twenty or more sessions and contrasted with the group of less than



twenty planned sessions; the latter grouping exhibits significantly greater holding strength. The major vocational education divisions indicating greatest holding strengths were concentrated within industrial and home economic course interest areas, whereas courses of least holding strength were in the interest areas of business and graphic and applied arts.

PART II 1967-1968 SURVEY

The second adult education survey was conducted during the 1967-1968 school year and consisted of three questionnaires administered to the adult student.

The initial instrument was given to students shortly after enrolling in the course, and it was developed to ascertain whether or not a population drawn in the fall school term would reveal a different student demographic pattern than the previous survey conducted in the spring term of 1967. The second phase of the study was a questionnaire given to the students who were 'drop-outs' and the third instrument was administered to the student 'persister' or those completing the course. The study was given to students enrolled in U. W. extension centers and comparative results were developed between the vocational and extension systems. Populations used in the 1967-68 PTAE study of the vocational system were 2,429 enrollees, 303 drop-outs, and 1,559 persisters, The U. W. extension center system had listings of 223 enrollees, 5 drop-outs, and 149 persisters for the area studied.



A demographic comparison between the two studies indicated that elements of age, education level, marital status, median family income, and sex ratio for enrollees were relatively comparable between the two studies. This indicates that the socio-economic differences were slight and the time of year at which the survey was conducted was irrelevant toward the demographic makeup of the population constituency.

A comparison was made between the persisters and drop-outs within the vocational system by comparing eight questions that evaluated the courses. In reviewing the questions, that presented a significant difference (p < .001) between the drop-out and persister population it appears that the fault may be within the instructional attitude. Evaluative differences were in: (1) 'teacher knowing subject'; (2) 'teacher offering student assistance'; (3) 'class being made interesting'; and (4) 'course giving what was desired by student'. A fifth item, 'chance for practical work', gave significant differences; for those who completed the course were pleased at being allowed practical applications within their courses.

No significant differences were noted for questions of: (1) 'work being too hard'; (2) 'class assist you on job'; and (3) 'class bing too large'.



Comparing Survey Results Between U. W. Extension and Vocational System Adult Education Participants

Also the second adult survey, and its three phases, were administered to five centers in the U. W. Extension systems. However, a comparability analysis was made between four units of the extension and vocational systems. (Janesville, Madison, Wausau, and Racine) the basis for selection was similiarity in geographical locales for student attendance.

The populations of the two system appear significantly different for demographic factors of adult participants in the U. W. Extension and the Wisconsin Vocational systems. The socio-economic factors which show a disparity are: sex, years of schooling, median family income, and present occupation.

The extension system is typified by having greater than two-thirds of their adult students being female; whereas the vocational system has less then sixty percent female enrollees. The extension system student has a median educational attainment at the college level, and the vocational adult program is at the high school level. Median family income for the VTAE group is about \$6,300, but the extension system enrollees have a median income level of over \$10,000. A significantly higher percent of extension enrollees are white-collar workers as compared to those in the vocational system.



Vocational students who enrolled in the adult programs were concerned with occupational jobs and home tasks as reasons for enrolling; whereas, the extension student placed an enrollment priority on becoming a better informed citizen and escaping daily routines.

Approximately 45 percent of the VTAE adult enrollees learned of their course offering through the newspaper medium and almost 38 percent of the U. W. Extension system students were informed of their courses through catalogs. The second most viable source for gaining course information by the vocational system students is 'other students', 27.1%, and by U. W. extension system enrollees is the newspaper medium, 28.7%.

Comparison of evaluative data for those completing the course in the two systems indicate that vocational adult courses are more job oriented and the instructors in the vocational system appear more empathetic toward the student. The aforementioned statement is supported by the significant percent of 'agreeable' responses registered by the vocational system participants to the queries of: (1) 'Teacher know his subject?'; (2) 'Teacher help you when you needed it?'; (3) 'Course help you on the job?'; and (4) 'Chance for practical work?'.

ERIC

PART-TIME ADULT EDUCATION SURVEY

State Board of Vocational, Technical and Adult Education

	MERAL DIRECTIONS: 1) Please read each item carefully and answer all questions empletely. 2) Be sure to check only one item unless other directions are given. All information you give us will be kept confidential.
1.	Name (Optional) Age
	Last First Initial
2.	Marital Status: Single Married Separated Widowed Divorced
3.	Male Female Number of Dependents
4.	What is your <u>present occupation</u> ? Please be specific: For example: Salesman, farmer, plumber, mechanic.
5.	Briefly, list the main duties of this occupation
6.	Employment Status: Full-time Part-time Retired Unemployed
7.	How many years have you been in your present position(job)?
	Do you have any disability or health condition that limits your employability? YesNo
9.	What occupation (job or position) would you like to be doing five years from now?
.0.	In which group did your taxable income fall last year? If you are married stat your family income. If you are in business for yourself or a farmer state your net income. (Check one)
	Under \$4,000, \$4,000-6,999, \$7,000-10,000, Over \$10,000
1.	Circle the highest grade or year of school completed.
	Elementary High School Post High School
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
2.	Name of this course: Day Eve
3.	Have you attended part-time classes provided by a vocational, technical and adult school previously? Yes No If yes, how many Year of most recent attendance prior to this class
4.	How far do you travel one way to attend this class?
	How many years have you lived in this community ?



16.	Below	v listed are reasons that might describe why you participate in this educa-
	a.	
	b.	To improve my understanding of community problems
	c.	
	d.	
	e.	
	f.	
	g.	
	h.	To satisfy a need for higher status and prestige
	i.	To retrain or prepare for a different full-time job
	3.	
	k.	
	1.	and duties around the nome
	m.	to the caucation (such as college or technical institute)
	n.	To associate with people in new activities
	0.	To meet new friends of similar age and interests
	p.	To get away from daily routine
•	q.	To spend my spare time more enjoyably
	r.	Others - please list
17.		reasons you checked from the above list, select the three you feel best be your participation in this class. Rank them by filling in the letter reason in the following blanks. 1st 2nd 3rd
18.		is a list of some of the ways participation in this or previous part-time classes provided by a vocational, technical and adult school could have you in your occupation. (Check ways that have helped you)
	a.	Provided training necessary for advancement in present job.
	b.	Provided training necessary to acquire a different job.
	c.	Provided a better understanding and satisfaction with present job.
	d.	Increased ability to get along with other employees associated with present job.
	e.	Others, please list
		feel that participation in part-time adult classes provided by vocational, eal and adult schools resulted in increased income from your occupation?
	Yes	No If yes, approximately how much per week?
		s or Suggestions (Use back of sheet if more space is needed)



Table showing number and percent of persons by varied reasons for participating in courses within state PTAEsample survey. (Spring 1967).

•	•					•	
	Reason for Participating	Numbe Yes	Number of Persons Replying Yes No (Tot)	s Replying (Tot)	Percent Yes	Percent of Persons Replying Yes (Tot)	Replying (Tot)
	 To fulfill a desire for general information and knowledge/ or satisfy curiosity 	551	249	(300)	68.9	31.1	(100.0)
•	2. To improve understanding of community problems	73	727	(800)	9.1	6.06	(100.0)
	3. To improve understanding of life in general.	141	659	. (800)	17.6	82.4	(100.0)
	4. To improve ability to perform on present job.	353	447	(800)	44.1	55.9	(100.0)
· ·	5. To prepare for hobby or leisure time activity.	.360	440	(800)	45.0	55.0	(100.0)
	6. To improve relations with others on job.	170	630	(800)	21.3	78.7	(100.0)
	7. To become a more effective citizen	167	. 633	(800)	20.9	79.1	(100.0)
	8. To satisfy a need for higher status and prestige.	223	577	(800)	27.9	. 72.1	(100.0)
•	9. To retrain or prepare for a different full-time job.	228	572	(800)	. 28.5	. 71.5	(100.0)
	10. To prepare for a second (part-time) job.	42	.721	. (008)	6.6	90.1	(100.0)

	s Replying (Tot)	(100.0)	(100.0)	,	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)
•	Percent of Persons Replying	86.2	71.4	i c	φ. ο γ.	76.6	70.6	58.4	95.0
	Percel Yes	13.8	28.6	,	31 1	23.4	29.4	41.6	5.0
4	rsons Replying (Tot)	(800)	(800)		(008)	(800)	(800)	(800)	(800)
£ 56	Number of Pers	069	571	683	551	613	565	467	092
- 7 25 8 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Num	110	229	. 117	249	187	235	333	40
· ·	Reason for Participating	11. To help become a better parent	12. To help in carrying out tasks and duties around the house.	<pre>13. To prepare for future cducation (e.g. college or technical institute.)</pre>	14. To associate with people in new activities.	15. To meet new friends of similar age and interests.	16. To get away from daily routine.	17. To spend time more enjoyably.	18, OTHER REASONS

ADULT EDUCATION SURVEY Board of Vocational, Technical and Adult Education

1.	Name				A 0 0	Contal 9	Samuel trans 1	
•		Last	First I	nitial	nge	Social s	Security #	
2.	Male	, Female, No.	of Dependent	s,	Single_	, Marr	ied, Oth	ner
	What i	s your present occu	nation? Plea	se he c	noaifia			
4.	Circle	the highest grade Elementary 4 5 6: 7 8	or year of so High 9 10	hool <u>co</u> School 11 12	npleted	Post	High School 3 4 5 6	7
5.	Name o	<i>c</i>						
6.	Length	of course (No. of						eks
7.	Agency	paying fees: Self	, Employe:	r, (Sovernm	ent	•	
Ple	state j Under s ase chec	ch group did your ta your family income. your net income. (6 \$4,000, \$4,000-6 ck all statements be course.	Check one) 5,999, \$7	in busir 000-10	ness for	r yoursel:	f or a farme	r,
		Table A		Much	Some	Little	Not at All	
	a. To	o help me do my job	better					
	b. To	prepare for a hobb	· Y					
	c. To	help me get along hers on the job	better with					_
	d. To	be a better inform	ed citizen					
•	e. To	retrain or prepare rent full-time job	for a <u>dif</u> -	,				
	f. To	prepare for a secon	nd part-time					
	g. To	help me become a be	etter parent					
	h. To	help in carrying ou duties around the	it tasks home			<u> </u>		_
	i. To	prepare for futher sch as college or to	education chnical inst					
	j. To	associate with peop ivities	le in new	<u> </u>				
Ī	k. To	get away from daily	routine			•		1



WISCONSIN BOARD OF VOCATIONAL, TECHNICAL AND ADULT EDUCATION

S	ADULT E	ESTIONMA: DUCATION	IRE .	٠,	Form	B2
1. Name Last Fire				Socia	1 Securit	v #
2. Name of course	. :	Initial		• ,	• • •	Conductors stronger to believe
Please place an "x" in a box by course.		stion acc	ording	to your	feelines	about the
	Tab]	٠.	· ·			i i
Question			Much	Some	Little	Not at Al
1. Did the course give you what	you wan	ted?		,		" Within the off the table to the state of t
2. Was the work too hard?						ستنجيط طهيمه والراء المسيدينيين الها والمدينية
3. Did the teacher know his sub	ject?	•		THE STATE OF THE PARTY AND PARTY AND PARTY.		بدر المتاليد طالبا المقالية المتالية المتالية المتالية المتالية المتالية المتالية المتالية المتالية المتالية ا
4. Did the teacher help you when		ded it?		an Performance of the Performanc		and the second s
5. Will the course help you in ;	our iob?					P
6. Was the class interesting?	- ,,				•	
7. Was the class too large?	· .					
8. Was there a chance for practi	cal work	?		·		
Listed below are statements that a course. Check all of those items listed. Make any comments you wis	may desc	ribe your	reason	ns for nid any o	ot attend ther reas	ing the
1. Work schedule conflicted		• . • •		•		• •
2. Illness	<u>.</u>		•	· •		
3. Moved	•			•	•	•
4. Transportation problems				•		•
5. Accomplished my purpose of	attendi	ng withou	ut comp	letino t	ila courac	• .
6. Did not have proper backgro	ound				.ne course	•
7. Preferred another course	•		•	•		
8. Other reasons (Please make page)	addition	nal comme	ents on	the rev	erse side	of this



WISCONSIN BOARD OF VOCATIONAL, TECHNICAL AND ADULT EDUCATION

SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE ADULT EDUCATION

Form C-2

	Name	•			
	Last First Initial	Age	Social	Security	#
2. 1	Name of course				
Pleas cours	se place an "x" in a box by each question acc	ording	to your	feelings	about the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Table C				
	Question	Much	Some	Little	Not at A
1.	Did the course give you what you wanted?				
	Was the work too hard?				
3.	Did the teacher know his subject?				
4.]	Did the teacher help you when you needed it?				
	fill the course help you in your job?				
	Vas the class interesting?				
	as the class too large?				tana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang
. !	las there a chance for practical work?				
. D	id you tell anyone about you taking the cours				Student
. н N О	ow did you learn of this course? Teacher ewspaper, Employment Service, Radio/Ther Schools, Name of School	r.v	, Schoo	ol Catalog	2
0 W	as there any time during the course when	· · · ·	_, School	ol Catalog	3
0 . Wa Ye	ther Schools, Name of School as there any time during the course when you as No Why	though	_, School	ol Catalog	<u></u> ,
0 . Wa Ye	as there any time during the course when	though	you mi	ol Catalog	<u></u> ,
0 . Wa Ye	ther Schools, Name of School as there any time during the course when you as No Why nat courses would you like to see offered in	thought	you mi	ol Catalog	3
O Wa Ye	as there any time during the course when you hat courses would you like to see offered in Day Time	thought the fut	you mi	ght drop	3
• W.Y.	ther Schools, Name of School as there any time during the course when you as No Why	thought	you mi	ght drop) ,



TABLE B

Table showing the extent of influence, expressed in percent, for various reasons that persons enrolled in the 1967-68 PTAE course survey. (N - indicates the number of students responding to each statement).

Extent of Influence (expressed in %)

٠		ij	~~		לאי חד המככמה דו	
, Ne	Reason or Statement	Much	Sone	Little	Not at All	Z
	To help me do my job better	38.0	19.0	7.5	35.7	1,982
લ	To prepare for a hobby	23.4	17.6	11.4	47.6	1,951
; m	To help me get along better with others on the job	10.9	16.2	13.1	59.8	1,833
. 4.	To be a better informed citizen	24.2	25.2	13.2	37.4	1,887
ភ	To retrain or prepare for a different full-time job	22.5	9.1	8.0	7.09	1,862
9	To prepare for second part-time job	6.9	7.6	8.3	75.1	1,828
7.	To help me become a better parent	13.7	15.1	٠ ٥٠	61.4	1,849
ć o	To help in carrying out tasks and duties around the home	18.9	17.9	10.2	53.0	1,897
9.	To prepare for further education (such as college or tech. institute)	15.8	11.1	8.7	7.49	1,326
10.	To associate with people in new activities	٠				
17	To get away from daily routine	20.6	22.5	14.9	42.0	1.952

TABLE C

Table showing reasons for not attending the courses as given by drop-outs of the 1967-68 PTAE courses surveyed.

Rea	son for Discontinuing	Frequency	Percent(%)
1.	Work schedule conflict	103	30.7
2.	Illness	61.	18.2
3.	Noved	10	3.0
4.	Transportation problem	5	1.5
5.	Accomplish before completed	28	8.3
6.	Improper background	18	5.4
7.	Preferred another course	15	4.5
8.	Other reasons	95	28.4
	Totals	3 35 *	100.0

*Number of dropouts in PTAE program is 303, however, several respondents offered more than one reason for discontinuing their course.

ERIC Fall Bast Provided by ERIC

TABLE D

Table showing the degree of feeling toward courses expressed, in percent, by usage of evaluative questions asked of both the persister (P) and drop-out (D) students in the 1967-68 PTAE course survey.
(N - indicates the number of students answering the questions)

Degree of Feeling (expressed in %)

•	Course	e - evaluative questions	Attendance Level	Much	Same	Little	Not at All	zi
	1. U	Did the course give you what you wanted?	Ω	75.4	22.5	1.8	.	1,539
			Q /	57.0	30.1	3.1	. 8.4	272
•	2.	Was the work too hard?	Q	1.2	10.9	15.3	72.6	1,524
	٠		Ω	1.9	13.4	17.5	67.2	268
	ά ε	Did the teacher know his subject?	Q	0.76	2.9		r.	1,536
			Q	83.2	12.8	2.2	1.8	273
	4. Di	Did the teacher help you when you needed	d it? P	91.1	8.4	٠ ٠	ł	1,535
			Q	74.4	17.5	6.2	1.9	258
	,5. W	Will the course help you in your job?	Ā	36.2	27.8	9.5	26.5	1,401
•			D	29.5	31.6	14.0	25.2	250
	6. Was	is the class interesting?	Q	84.7	13.7	1.2	. 7.	1,539
			Q	0.09	24.4	9.6	6.2	275
	7. Was	s the class too large?	ρ,	3.4	6.3	7.2	83.1	1,536
			A	6.7	4. 8	7.5	81.0	263
	8. Was	s there chance for practical work?	<u>ρ</u> ,	55.0	31.1	7.4	6.5	1,424
Towns of the Park	An application of the second			47.8	32.2	14.0	0.9	263

TABLE E

Table showing significance test results of responses between persister and dropout students toward evaluative questions in survey by using the X^2 test of dichotomized response categories $^{\mathbf{a}}$ the 1967-68 PTAE

	Results obtained by the X^2 m	easure between persisters	measure between persisters, completers and dropouts
Evaluative Questions	x ²	d.f.	Α.
1. Did the course give you what you wanted?	19,467	rd	.001
2. Was the work too hard?	2,365	-d	•10
3. Did the teacher know his subject?	48.693		. 001
$^{eta_{ullet}}$ Did the teacher help you when you needed it?	215.263	.	.001
5. Will the class help you in your job?	1.858		•15
6. Was the class interesting?	132,500		.001
7. Was the class too large?	•775	· ·	. 40
8. Was there chance for practical work?	5.623	• H	.015

2 test; d.f. = degrees of freedom and P = probability that there is no significant difference between and "some" were compared against replies of "little" and "not at all" for each question. student persisters and dropouts for responses toward course evaluative questions. = Results of X "Responses "much"

Table showing significance test results of responses between the students in the

dichotomized response categories. geographically-like serviced areas of VTAE and UM-Extension system to reasons that persons enrolled in the 1967 - 68 courses surveyed by usage of χ

		Results-obta	Results obtained by the X2 Vocational and 'Extension'	measure between students. B/
·.	neason ior Enrolling	x ²	d.f.	P.
ri '	To help me do my job better.	23.16	н	•001
8	To prepare for a hobby.	.036	rt	86
'n	To help me get along better with others on the job	13.92	. r-1	.003
7	To be a better informed citizen	17.22	ч	.00
ř.	To retrain or prepare for a better full-time job.	22.92	, T	.001
6.	To prepare for a second part-time job	15.72	rd	.001
7.	To help me be a better parent.	2.05	r	י רי
ထံ	To help in carrying out tasks & duties around home.	34.85	н	100.
9	To prepare for further education (such as College or Technicad Institute)	3.35	rH	• 05
	To associate with people in new activities	.037	H	. 98
11.	To get away from daily routines	9.21	ч	.001

Responses 'much' and 'some' were compared against replies of 'little' and 'not at all' for each question. ģ

 x^2 = Results of x^2 test; d.f. = degrees of freedom and P = Probability that there is no significant difference between students in the Vocational and U. W. extension systems.

Table H

Comparative table of geographically-like serviced areas, between VTAE(V) and U.W. Extension(E) System participants concerning feeling about the course, expressed in percent, by those completing the 1967-68 surveyed courses (N - No. of students responding to each statement).

Question Asked:		System	Much	Some	Little	Not at	Number
ı.	Course give you what you wanted?	(V) (E)	75.4 59.8	37.4 22.5	1.8	.3 1.4	1539 147
2.	Work too hard?	(V) (E)	1.1	10.9	15.4 8.6	72.6 85.6	1524 104
3.	Teacher knew subject?	(V) (E)	97.0 91.2	2.9 7.0	-	1.8	1536 113
4.	Teacher helped you when you needed it?	(V) (E)	91.1 65.1	8. ¹ 4 25.3	.5 2.4	- 7 . 2	1535 83
5.	Course helps you on job?	(V) (E)	36.3 12.8	27.7 23.4	9.6 .16.0	26.4 47.8	1403 94
6.	Was class interesting?	(V) (E)	84.6 81.5	13.7 17.8	1.2 •7	•5	1540 146
7.	Was class too large?	(V) (E)	3.4 2.9	6.3 6.9	7.2 10.8	83.1 79.14	1536 102
8.	Chance for practical work?	(V) (E)	55.1 27.3	31.1 23.4	7.4 10.4	6.4 38.9	1427 77

TABLE I

Table showing X² significance test results of dichotonized responses between students in Georgaphically-like serviced areas. Of VIAE and U-W. Extension System to question concerning feeling about the course of persons . completing the 1967-68 surveyed courses.

· ••	.20	. 50	.001	.001	. 100. (EP Tr. 1889)	.90 · / AUGI : 195.3	.20 On Adeit States	1007
D.F.	, rd	н	ન	٠	н	ч	. ન	e-t
2×1	1.11	.20	21.60	15.24	33.25	1.08	これ。こ	35.48
Ouestion Asked	. Course give you what you wanted?	. Work too hard?	. Teacher Know Subject?	. Teacher help you when you needed 14?	. Course help you on job?	. Was class interesting?	7. Was class too large?	3. Chance for practical work?

guestion. $X^2 =$ results of X^2 test; d.f. = degrees of freedom and p = probability that there is no significant Responses 'much' and 'some' were compared against replies of 'little' or 'not at all' for each difference between students in the vocational and U.W.-Extension Systems.

Geographically-like serviced areas for comparable tables in the communities of Madison, Janesville, and Wausau (No completed forms submitted for Racine extensions). <u>ല</u>